

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,604	12/11/2003	Xing Ping Lin	TRW(TE)6855	7862
75	590 06/30/2005	EXAMINER		
,	JNDHEIM, COVEL	ALLEN, ANDRE J		
SUITE 1111 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	A
/—	$\mathcal{L}_{j}$

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/733,604	LIN, XING PING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre J. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply significant one maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,11-14 and 17</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,11-14 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>4-7, 10.15 and 16</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ete				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-11-03</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· — · — —					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,8,9,12-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (US 2001/0008083).

Regarding claims 1 and 13 Brown teaches a unit 157 associated with the at least one tire 104 for sensing a parameter the at least one tire and for transmitting (abstract) a tire parameter signal indicative of the sensed parameter [0105] a tire-based a transceiver device located on the vehicle for receiving the tire parameter 110 114 signal and for transmitting a relay signal tire parameter signal; a vehicle-based unit 108 indicative of the received unit mounted on the vehicle location spaced away from the transceiver device [0103], the vehicle-based unit adapted to receive both

Art·Unit: 2855

the parameter signal and the tire an indicator relay signal [0103]; and coupled to the vehicle-based indication the sensed unit for providing an parameter of the at least one tire, the vehicle-based unit controlling the indicator 162 in response to receiving at least one of the tire parameter signal and the relay signal.

Regarding claims 2,8,14 and 17 Brown teaches wherein the tire-based unit transmits the tire parameter signal at a first predetermined frequency and wherein the transceiver device transmits the relay signal at a second, different predetermined frequency [0071].

Regarding claim 3 Brown teaches the transceiver device includes first circuitry for demodulating u13 the received tire parameter signal predetermined frequency and outputting a message packet having information indicating the sensed parameter having of the at least one tire, the transceiver device also including second circuitry for receiving the message packet from the first circuitry and for modulating the message packet onto the relay signal having the second predetermined frequency [0120].

Regarding claim 9 Brown et al teaches the transceiver device includes a power supply for providing electrical energy to the transceiver device [0106].

Regarding claim 12 Brown et al teaches the vehicle includes at least one front tire that is located near a front of the vehicle and at least one rear tire that is located near the vehicle, the rear of vehicle-based unit being mounted to the vehicle near the at least one front tire and the transceiver device being mounted to the vehicle near the at least one rear tire (fig. 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,604 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 2001/0008083) in view of Ripley et al (US 4723445).

Regarding claim 11 Brown does not teach a vehicle motion sensor for connecting the power supply to the transceiver device when the vehicle is in motion and for disconnecting the power supply from the vehicle is stationary. Ripley et al teaches a motion sensor 35. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art of tire monitoring devices at the time the invention was made to modify the device taught by Brown with a motion detector as taught by Ripley et al for the purpose of controlling the monitoring device as a function of rotation (Ripley et al col. 4 lines 30-35).

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4-7,10,15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

Art Unit: 2855

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior art does not disclose nor suggest a mixer for mixing the received tire parameter signal having the first predetermined frequency with the reference signal, a time delay spaces the relay signal in time relative to the tire parameter signal, a switch for connecting one of the batteries to the transceiver device, a control device being responsive to diminished power from the connected battery for disconnecting the connected battery and connecting another one of the plurality of batteries to the transceiver.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,604

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andre Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855 EDWARD LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7